arXiv:1409.1736v2 [math.AG] 23 Feb 2015

ITERATIVE DISSECTION OF OKOUNKOV BODIES OF GRADED LINEAR SERIES ON $\mathbb{CP}^2$

THOMAS ECKL

ABSTRACT. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ in n points x_i in very general position, and let E_i be the exceptional divisor over x_i. For $0 \leq n \leq 9$ we calculate Okounkov bodies of graded linear series given by sections of multiples of line bundles $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-m\sum_{i=1}^n E_i)$ with respect to a flag consisting of a line on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and a point on the line in general position. Furthermore, we show what Nagata's Conjecture predicts on these Okounkov bodies when $n > 9$.

0. INTRODUCTION

In 1996, Okounkov ([Oko96, Oko03]) constructed in a side remark convex polytopes associated to graded linear series on projective algebraic varieties, generalizing the construction of Newton polytopes associated to single polynomials and of moment polytopes associated to toric varieties. As was made precise later on by the work of Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă [LM09] and Khovanskii and Kaveh [KK08], these *(Newton-)Okounkov bodies* encode invariants of the graded linear series. In recent years there were also striking applications of this connection between Algebraic Geometry and Convex Geometry to the study of semigroups [KK12] and to Symplectic Geometry [HK12].

However, Okounkov bodies are still difficult to explicitely calculate whenever we leave the toric situation, even in simple settings on projective complex algebraic surfaces (see e.g the challenge stated in [DKMS13]). This is not too surprising, as the geometry of Okounkov bodies is closely related to the structure of the cone of big divisors on a projective algebraic variety, and this cone is known to have an intricate structure for a long time. For example, it is still not known what the big cone of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up in $n > 9$ points looks like (see [Laz04, Ch.5] for some conjectures on the structure of the dual ample cone).

On the other hand, Lazarfeld's and Mustaţă's characterisation of Okounkov bodies associated to complete linear series on projective complex algebraic surfaces ([LM09, Thm.6.4], completed by [KLM13, Thm.B]) contains an algorithm to calculate these Okounkov bodies when we have enough knowledge of the big cone of this surface. Łuszcz-Świdecka and Schmitz [ŁŚS14] introduced further shortcuts to make the algorithm more efficient.

In this note, we investigate the Okounkov bodies associated to certain complete linear series on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up in n points in general position. We are able to calculate the Okounkov bodies if $n \leq 9$ since the big cones of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up in at most 9 points are well enough understood. The new technical ingredient is the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J26, 14C20.
Key words and phrases. Okounkov bodies, Nagata Conjecture.

combination of the algorithms of Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă, with the amendments in [KLM13], and the symmetry of the considered linear systems. As a surprising corollary we are able to predict the form of the Okounkov bodies for $n > 9$ using Nagata's Conjecture on the big cone of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up in more than 9 points: The genericity of their form corresponds to the non-special behaviour of the linear systems predicted by the Conjecture. For exact statements and proofs, see section 3.

1. Okounkov bodies on surfaces and Zariski decompositions

Assume from now on that all algebraic varieties are complex.
To prove the results in section 3, we need a characterisation of Okounkov bodies of big $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on smooth projective complex algebraic surfaces, first stated by Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă [LM09, Thm.6.4] and completed by Küronya, Lozovanu and Maclean [KLM13, Thm.B]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let D be a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a smooth projective algebraic surface X, and let $Y_\bullet : X \supset C \supset \{x\}$ be an admissible flag on X, with C an irreducible and reduced curve on X and $x \in C$ a nonsingular point on C. Set*

$$\mu = \mu(D; C) := \sup\{s > 0 | D - sC \text{ is big}\}.$$

Then there exist continuous functions $\alpha, \beta : [a, \mu] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ for some $0 \leq a \leq \mu$ with α convex and increasing, β concave, $\alpha \leq \beta$, and both α and β piecewise linear with rational slopes and only finitely many breakpoints such that the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is the region bounded by the graphs of α and β,

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) = \{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ | a \leq t \leq \mu, \alpha(t) \leq y \leq \beta(t)\}.$$

The proof of this characterisation relies on the notion of Zariski decompositions of pseudo-effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisors.

Definition 1.2. *Let D be a pseudo-effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a smooth projective algebraic surface X. A decomposition $D = P + N$ into a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor P (the positive part) and an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor N (the negative part) is called a Zariski decomposition of D if $P \cdot C_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, q$ and the intersection matrix $(C_i \cdot C_j)_{1 \leq i,j \leq q}$ is negative-definite, where $C_1, \ldots, C_q$ are the reduced and irreducible components of the support of N.*

Existence and uniqueness of Zariski decompositions is a a classical result about divisors on algebraic surfaces, proven e.g. in [Băd01, Thm.14.14] for $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors, but the proof generalizes to $\mathbb{R}$-divisors.
Using Zariski decompositions the proof of Thm. 1.1 in [LM09] describes the constant a and the functions α, β appearing in the statement in more details:

(i) a is the coefficient of C in the support of the negative part N in the Zariski decomposition $D = P + N$.
(ii) If $t \geq a$ and $D_t := D - t \cdot C = P_t + N_t$ is a Zariski decomposition then

$$\alpha(t) = \operatorname{ord}_x N_{t|C} \text{ and } \beta(t) = \alpha(t) + C \cdot P_t.$$

The breakpoints of the piecewise linear functions $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ appear when the Zariski decomposition of $D - t \cdot C$ considerably changes. This happens when the line $\{D - t \cdot C | t \geq a\}$ crosses the border between two *Zariski chambers* of the big cone: If $D = P_D + N_D$ is the Zariski decomposition of D define

$$\operatorname{Neg}(D) := \{C \subset X \text{ reduced and irreducible curve} | C \subset \operatorname{Supp}(N_D)\}.$$

Furthermore, if P is nef, set

$$\mathrm{Null}(P) := \{C \subset X \text{ reduced and irreducible curve} | C \cdot P = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Face}(P) := \mathrm{Null}(P)^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{Nef}(X).$$

Then the Zariski chamber of P is defined as

$$\Sigma_P := \{D \in \mathrm{Big}(X) | \mathrm{Neg}(D) = \mathrm{Null}(P)\},$$

and all the Zariski chambers cover the big cone (see [BKS04, § 1] for more details). Using the Hodge Index Theorem [Har77, Thm.V.1.9] it is easy to show that a non-empty set $\mathrm{Null}(P)$ consists of finitely many curves with negative-definite intersection matrix. We also need a characterisation of the closure of the Zariski chamber Σ_P:

Lemma 1.3 ([BKS04, Prop.1.8])**.** *$\overline{\Sigma_P}$ is the cone generated by* $\mathrm{Face}(P)$ *and the curves in* $\mathrm{Null}(P)$*.*

Corollary 1.4. *If P is a nef and big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, but not ample, then* $\mathrm{Null}(P) \neq \emptyset$*.*

Proof. If P is nef and big but not ample, P lies on the boundary of the nef cone but still in the interior of the big cone. The nef cone is the Zariski chamber of any divisor Q with $\mathrm{Null}(Q) = \emptyset$. Since all the Zariski chambers cover the big cone there must be another nef divisor Q' with $\mathrm{Null}(Q') \neq \emptyset$ such that $P \in \overline{\Sigma_{Q'}}$. By Lem. 1.3 we can decompose P as

$$P = \overline{P} + \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Null}(Q')} a_C C,$$

with $\overline{P} \in \mathrm{Face}(Q')$. Since the intersection matrix of the finitely many curves in $\mathrm{Null}(Q')$ is negative-definite and $\overline{P} \cdot C = 0$ for all $C \in \mathrm{Null}(Q')$, this is a Zariski decomposition of P. Since P is nef, $P = \overline{P}$. Since $\overline{P} \in \mathrm{Face}(Q')$ we have

$$\emptyset \neq \mathrm{Null}(Q') \subset \mathrm{Null}(\overline{P}) = \mathrm{Null}(P).$$

□

Finally, by definition the negative part of the Zariski decomposition varies linearly in each Zariski chamber of the big cone. Consequently, breakpoints of the piecewise linear functions $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ in Thm. 1.1 can only occur for parameters t where the line $\{D - t \cdot C\}$ crosses the border of a Zariski chamber Σ_P (see [KLM13, Prop.2.1] for further information).
Thus Thm. 1.1 gives rise to an algorithm how to compute Okounkov bodies from good enough knowledge of the big cone and its decomposition into Zariski chambers. Note that the path given by the line $\{d - t \cdot C\}$ can intersect the Zariski chambers of the big cone in a rather complicated way. Łuszcz-Świdecka and Schmitz's algorithm [ŁŚS14] simplifies the path used for calculation considerably, but we do not need these improvements in our situation.

2. Curves on $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in several points in general position

In this section we collect classically known facts on curves on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and on $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in several points needed later on. For some of the proofs we refer to the literature whereas we present others, for lack of clear reference, but without claiming any

originality. However we try to explain the use of (very) general position in the arguments in more details than usual.
Let us first fix some notation. $\pi_n : X_n := X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is supposed to be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^2$ in general position and $E_i = \pi_n^{-1}(x_i)$ the exceptional divisor over x_i. If $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ denotes a line, then the Picard classes $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n$ of the line bundles

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_n}(\pi_n^* L), \mathcal{O}_{X_n}(E_1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{X_n}(E_n)$$

generate the Picard group $A^1(X_n) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_i$. The canonical line bundle represents the class $k := -3e_0 + e_1 + \dots + e_n$.

Definition 2.1. *An automorphism σ of the free abelian group $A^1(X_n)$ is called a Cremona isometry if the following properties are satisfied:*

(i) *σ preserves the intersection form on $A^1(X_n)$.*
(ii) *σ leaves the canonical class k of X_n fixed.*
(iii) *σ leaves the semigroup of effective classes invariant.*

The group of Cremona isometries on X_n will be denoted by $\mathrm{Cris}(X_n)$.

Definition 2.2. *The group W_n of automorphisms of $A^1(X_n)$ generated by the simple reflections $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n$ given by*

$$s_i(e_i) = e_{i+1}, s_i(e_{i+1}) = e_i \text{ and } s_i(e_j) = e_j \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, j \neq i, i+1,$$

$s_n(e_0) = 2e_0 - e_1 - e_2 - e_3, s_n(e_1) = e_0 - e_2 - e_3, s_n(e_2) = e_0 - e_1 - e_3, s_n(e_3) = e_0 - e_1 - e_2$ *and $s_n(e_j) = e_j$ for $j = 4, \dots, n$ is called the Weyl group of X_n.*

Proposition 2.3 ([Dol83, Thm.1, p.286])**.** *$W_n \subset \mathrm{Cris}(X_n)$.*
Furthermore, $s \in W_n$ maps $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n$ to classes $e_0', e_1', \dots, e_n'$ represented by pullback of lines and exceptional divisors coming from another sequence of blow ups in points $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{P}^2$. In that way, every $s \in W_n$ induces a birational map of the n-fold product $(\mathbb{P}^2)^n$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$ onto itself, by setting $s(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

The proposition implies the following principle: If C is a curve of class $[C]$ on $X(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ then for every $s \in W_n$ there exists an isomorphic curve on $X(s(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ of class $s([C])$. Consequently, given a flat family of curves $C \subset X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that the $x_1, \dots, x_n$ vary in an open subset of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^n$ then for a very general $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^n$ there exists for every of the countably many $s \in W_n$ a curve $C' \subset X_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ isomorphic to a curve C of the family and of class $s([C])$.
All that can be used to classify the exceptional curves of the first kind on X_n, that is all nonsingular rational curves $C \subset X_n$ with $C^2 = -1$:

Theorem 2.4 ([Dol83, Cor.1, p.288])**.** *There is a bijection between the set of exceptional curves of the first kind on X_n and the orbit $W_n e_n$.*

The main tool to prove this theorem is Noether's Inequality:

Lemma 2.5 ([Dol83, p.288])**.** *Let C be an irreducible curve of degree d on $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing through points $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with multiplicities $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$, $n \geq 3$. Assume that $m_2 > 0$ and that the strict transform $\overline{C}$ of C on the blow up X_n of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a nonsingular rational curve with $-2 \leq \overline{C}^2 \leq 1$. Then:*

$$d < m_1 + m_2 + m_3.$$

Noether's Inequality can also be used to classify the classes of nonsingular rational curves $C \subset X_n$ with $C^2 = 0$:

Proposition 2.6. *There is a bijection between the classes of nonsingular rational curves C with $C^2 = 0$ and the orbit $W_n(e_0 - e_1)$.*

Proof. The strict transform on X_n of a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ running through x_1 but not through $x_2, \ldots, x_n$ represents the class $e_0 - e_1$. The principle above implies that for all $s \in W_n$, $s(e_0 - e_1)$ is also represented by a nonsingular rational curve with self-intersection 0. Hence $W_n(e_0 - e_1)$ is injected into the set of all classes of such curves.
Vice versa, let C be a nonsingular rational curve on $X_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with self-intersection 0 and let $de_0 - m_1e_1 - \cdots - m_ne_n$ be its class. Since $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is assumed to be very general there is a whole family of such curves in $X_n(x'_1, \ldots, x'_n)$ where $(x'_1, \ldots, x'_n)$ varies in a Zariski-open subset of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^n$. Hence we can use the principle above, and iteratively applying the simple reflections $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} \in W_n$ we obtain a nonsingular rational curve on $X_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with self-intersection 0 representing $de_0 - m_1e_1 - \cdots - m_{n'}e_{n'}$ with $n' \leq n$ and $m_1 \geq \ldots \geq m_{n'} > 0$. We distinguish three cases:

- $n' = 1$: Then $(de_0 - m_1e_1)^2 = d^2 - m_1^2 = 0$ only if $d = m_1$. Since a nonsingular curve only vanishes with multiplicity 1 in a point, C must be of class $e_0 - e_1$.
- $n' = 2$: C cannot be the strict transform of the line L through x_1 and x_2 since $(e_0 - e_1 - e_2)^2 = -1$. Then $0 \leq \pi_n(C) \cdot L = d - m_1 - m_2$ implies $m_1 + m_2 \leq d$, hence $m_1^2 + m_2^2 < (m_1 + m_2)^2 \leq d^2$. Consequently, $(de_0 - m_1e_1 - m_2e_2)^2 = 0$ is impossible.
- $n' \geq 3$: We can apply Noether's Inequality and conclude $d < m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. Thus applying the simple reflection s_n (and the principle above) yields a curve whose class has coefficient $2d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3 < d$ for e_0. The claim follows by induction.

□

We are ready to prove the characterisation of the cone $\mathrm{Big}(X_n)$ (also denoted by $\overline{NE}(X_n)$ in the literature) for $0 \leq n \leq 8$, using Mori theory. The main point is that all these surfaces are del Pezzo (or Fano), that is, $-K_{X_n}$ is ample for $0 \leq n \leq 8$ ([Man74, Thm.24.4]).

Theorem 2.7. *If $n = 0$, that is $X_0 = \mathbb{P}^2$, then $\mathrm{Big}(X_0) = \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot [L]$.*
If $n = 1$ then $\mathrm{Big}(X_1) = \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot [E_1] + \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot ([\pi_1^* L] - [E_1])$.
If $n \geq 2$ then $\mathrm{Big}(X_n)$ is generated (as a convex cone) by the finitely many classes of exceptional curves of the first kind on X_n.
For $1 \leq n \leq 8$ the classes of exceptional curves of the first kind are given by

d	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_5	m_6	m_7	m_8	$\#(n = 8)$
0	-1								8
1	1	1							28
2	1	1	1	1	1				56
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1		56
4	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	56
5	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	28
6	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	8

This table should be read as follows: For fixed n between 1 and 8 only those rows in the table matter where $m_{n+1} = \cdots = m_8 = 0$. In each row there is exactly one exceptional line for each permutation of $(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$, and the number of all such permutations is recorded in the last column.

Proof. The case $n = 0$ is obvious.
If $n = 1$ then $E_1^2 = -1, E_1 \cdot K_{X_1} = -1$ show that $[E_1]$ generates an extremal ray, by the classification of extremal rays ([Mat02, Thm.1.4.8]). Furthermore the linear system $|\pi_1^* L - E_1|$ induces the projection of X_1 to $\mathbb{P}^1$, with fibers $\cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and $(\pi_1^* L - E_1) \cdot K_{X_1} = -2$ shows that $[\pi_1^* L - E_1]$ generates an extremal ray, again by the classification of extremal rays. Since $-K_{X_1}$ is ample, the Cone Theorem [Mat02, Thm.1.3.1] implies that $\mathrm{Big}(X_1)$ is generated (as a convex cone) by finitely many extremal rays. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} A^1(X_1)_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$ there cannot be more than 2 extremal rays in this case.
If $2 \leq n \leq 8$ nonsingular rational curves of self-intersection 0 on X_n represent classes in $W_n(e_0 - e_1)$, by Prop. 2.6. But $e_0 - e_1 = (e_0 - e_1 - e_2) + e_2$ is a sum of exceptional curves of the first kind, hence this class does not generate an extremal ray of $\mathrm{Big}(X)$. Since exceptional curves of the first kind represent all classes in $W_n e_1$ by Thm. 2.4 it follows that a nonsingular rational curve of self-intersection 0 cannot be an extremal ray of $\mathrm{Big}(X_n)$. Consequently, the only possible extremal rays of $\mathrm{Big}(X_n)$ are exceptional curves of the first kind, by the classification of extremal rays, and since $-K_{X_n}$ is ample, they generate $\mathrm{Big}(X_n)$ by the Cone Theorem.
The table is obtained by calculating the orbit $W_n e_1$ (see [Man74, p.135]). □

This characterisation of the big cone of X_n can be used to calculate multipoint Seshadri constants on $\mathbb{P}^2$:

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\epsilon_n := \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}(L; x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \sup\{t > 0 | \pi_n^* L - t \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \text{ is ample}\}$ denote the n-point Seshadri constant of the divisor L on $\mathbb{P}^2$. For $1 \leq n \leq 9$ it is given by the following table:*

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ϵ_n	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{6}{17}$	$\frac{1}{3}$

Proof. As shown in Thm. 2.7 the convex cone $\mathrm{Big}(X_n)$ is generated by finitely many extremal rays for $1 \leq n \leq 8$. Kleiman's Criterion [Laz04, Thm.1.4.29] tells us that the Seshadri constant ϵ_n is the maximal number t such that the intersection of $\pi_n^* L - t \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ with all exceptional curves of the first kind (and $\pi_1^* L - E_1$ if $n = 1$) is non-negative. This maximum can be read off the table in 2.7.
$\epsilon_9 = \frac{1}{3}$ holds because Nagata's Conjecture is true for square numbers [Laz04, Rem.5.1.14]. □

Conjecture 2.9 (Nagata)**.** *For $n > 9$ we have $\epsilon_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$.*

3. Calculation of Okounkov bodies

Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a line and $y \in L$ a point, let $\pi_n : X_n \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in n points $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in very general position, and let $E_i = \pi_n^{-1}(x_i)$ denote the exceptional line over x_i. Then for positive integers d, m we consider divisors of the form

$$L_{n,d,m} = d\pi_n^* L - m \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$$

and study the Okounkov bodies $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m}) := \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet^{(n,d,m)})$ associated to the complete linear series

$$V_\bullet^{(n,d,m)} = \{V_k^{(n,d,m)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} = \{H^0(X_n, \mathcal{O}_{X_n}(kL_{n,d,m})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

with respect to the flag $Y_\bullet : X_n \supset \pi_n^{-1}(L) \supset \{\pi_n^{-1}(y)\}$ (see [LM09] for notation, constructions and proofs).
To this purpose we first recall a fact that shows the inclusions

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet^{(n,d,m)}) \subset \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet^{(n',d,m)}),\ n > n'. \tag{1}$$

Proposition 3.1 (see [DKMS13, Prop.4.1])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective algebraic surface and $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ the blow up of X in a point $p \in X$. Denote by $E \subset \widetilde{X}$ the exceptional line over p, and let $Y \subset X$ be a smooth irreducible curve such that $p \notin Y$ and $y \in Y$ a point, constituting an admissible flag $Y_\bullet : \widetilde{X} \supset Y \supset \{y\}$ on X that can also be taken as an admissible flag on $\widetilde{X}$. Finally, let L be a divisor on X. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi^*L - k\cdot E) \subset \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a natural inclusion

$$H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(n\pi^*L - nk\cdot E)) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nL))$$

identifying sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(n\pi^*L - nk\cdot E)$ with sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(nL)$ having multiplicity $\geq nk$ in p. Identified sections are equal when identifying the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(n\pi^*L - nk\cdot E)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(nL)$ on $X - \{p\} \cong \widetilde{X} - E$. Hence the valuations of these sections calculated with respect to the flag $Y_\bullet$ are equal, and the inclusion of Okounkov bodies follows. □

Applying this proposition to an iterative sequence of blow ups can be used to define the following notion:

Corollary-Definition 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective algebraic surface, and*

$$X = X_0 \overset{\pi_1}{\leftarrow} X_1 \overset{\pi_2}{\leftarrow} \cdots \overset{\pi_n}{\leftarrow} X_n = \widetilde{X}$$

a sequence of blow ups in points $p_i \in X_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Let $D_0, \ldots, D_n$ be divisors on $X_0, \ldots, X_n$ such that

$$\pi_i^* D_{i-1} - D_i \text{ is effective and } (\pi_i)_*(D_i) = D_{i-1},\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let $Y \subset X$ be a smooth irreducible curve and $y \in Y$ a point such that $p_0, (\pi_0 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_i)(p_i) \notin Y$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Consider the admissible flag $Y_\bullet : X \supset Y \supset \{y\}$ which also can be seen as an admissible flag on all the X_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then:

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_0) \supset \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_1) \supset \cdots \supset \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_n).$$

This chain of inclusions is called the iterative Okounkov body dissection associated to $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n$ and D_n (and the flag $Y_\bullet$). □

The next theorem shows how the Okounkov bodies $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,m,d})$ with fixed n but varying d, m can be calculated from each other. The main reason for this connection is that the curve L in the flag $Y_\bullet$ is so closely linked to the divisors $L_{n,d,m}$.

Theorem 3.3. *With notation as above, choose n, d, m such that $L_{n,d,m}$ is big. Then for all d', m' such that $\epsilon' := \frac{m'}{d'} \geq \frac{m}{d} =: \epsilon$,*

$$\frac{1}{d'} \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d',m'}) = \phi_{\epsilon'/\epsilon}(\frac{1}{d} \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})) \cap \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L)$$

where

$$\phi_r : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \ (x,y) \mapsto r \cdot (x-1, y) + (1,0) \tag{2}$$

is the radial rescaling of $\mathbb{R}^2$ by a factor r with center $(1,0)$.

Proof. The proof of Prop. 3.1 and a standard calculation of Okounkov bodies (see [KMS12, Ex.2.3(a)]) show that

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L) = \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | 0 \leq x, y, x+y \leq 1\},$$

the 2-simplex. By Cor. 3.2 and a simple rescaling property of Okounkov bodies, $\frac{1}{d} \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$ and $\frac{1}{d'} \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d',m'})$ are both contained in $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L)$. To apply Thm. 1.1 to $L_{n,d,m}$ we set

$$\mu_\epsilon := \sup\{t > 0 | (1-t)\pi_n^* L - \epsilon \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \text{ is big}\}.$$

Then there exist a constant $0 \leq a_\epsilon \leq \mu_\epsilon$ and functions $\alpha_\epsilon, \beta_\epsilon : [a_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\frac{1}{d} \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m}) = \{(t,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ | a_\epsilon \leq t \leq \mu_\epsilon, \alpha_\epsilon(t) \leq y \leq \beta_\epsilon(t)\}.$$

As discussed above a_ϵ is the coefficient of the irreducible divisor $\pi_n^{-1}(L)$ in the negative part N_ϵ of the Zariski decomposition $\pi_n^* L - \epsilon \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m E_i = P_\epsilon + N_\epsilon$. But $\pi_n^{-1}(L)$ cannot appear in the support of N_ϵ because the intersection matrix of the irreducible components of this support must be negative-definite, by Def. 1.2. Hence $a_\epsilon = 0$.

The functions $\alpha_\epsilon(t), \beta_\epsilon(t)$ are defined on the interval $[0, \mu_\epsilon]$ using the Zariski decompositions

$$D_{t,\epsilon} := \pi_n^* L - \epsilon \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i - t \cdot \pi_n^* L = (1-t) \cdot \pi_n^* L - \epsilon \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i = P_{t,\epsilon} + N_{t,\epsilon},$$

by $\alpha_\epsilon(t) = \mathrm{ord}_x N_{t,\epsilon|\pi_n^{-1}(L)}$ and $\beta_\epsilon(t) = \alpha_\epsilon(t) + \pi_n^{-1}(L) \cdot P_{t,\epsilon}$.

When we replace ϵ by ϵ' the same formulas hold for $a_{\epsilon'}, \alpha_{\epsilon'}, \beta_{\epsilon'}$ as long as $\pi_n^* L - \epsilon' \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ is pseudoeffective, that is $\mu_{\epsilon'} \geq 0$. Note that $D_{s,\epsilon'} = \epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot D_{t,\epsilon}$ where $s = \epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot (t-1) + 1$. Furthermore, $\epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot D_{t,\epsilon} = \epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot P_{t,\epsilon} + \epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot N_{t,\epsilon}$ is a Zariski decomposition and $\phi_{\epsilon'/\epsilon}(t,y) = (s, \epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot y)$. Finally $\epsilon' \geq \epsilon$ implies that for all $s \in [0, \mu_{\epsilon'}]$ there exists a $t \in [0, \mu_\epsilon]$ such that $s = \epsilon'/\epsilon \cdot (t-1) + 1$. The claim follows.

The claim also remains true if $\pi_n^* L - \epsilon' \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ is not any longer pseudo-effective: Then $\mu_{\epsilon'} < 0$, and $\phi_{\epsilon'/\epsilon}(\frac{1}{d} \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})) \subset \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < 0\}$ does not intersect $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L)$. □

Note that the theorem can be applied for every n because $L_{n,d,m} = \pi_n^* L - m \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ is ample and hence big whenever $\frac{m}{d}$ is small enough: If $\widetilde{C} \subset \widetilde{X}$ is a reduced and irreducible curve such that $C := \pi_n(\widetilde{C})$ is also a

curve , then $\widetilde{C}$ is linearly equivalent to the divisor $\deg(C)\cdot\pi_n^*L-\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{mult}_{x_i}(C)\cdot E_i$. Since $\mathrm{mult}_{x_i}(C)\leq \deg(C)$ the inequality $\frac{m}{d}<\frac{1}{n}$ implies

$$L_{n,d,m}\cdot\widetilde{C}=d\cdot\deg(C)-m\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{mult}_{x_i}(C)>0.$$

Since also $L_{n,d,m}\cdot E_i=m>0$ and $L_{n,m,d}^2>0$ for $m\ll d$, the Nakai-Moishezon Criterion [Laz04, Thm.1.2.23] implies that $L_{n,d,m}$ is ample.
By the rescaling property of Okounkov bodies, the analogon to (2) also holds for $\mathbb{R}$-divisors of the form $\pi_n^*L-\epsilon\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ for arbitrary $\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}$: For $\epsilon'\geq\epsilon$ and $\pi_n^*L-\epsilon\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ $\mathbb{R}$-big,

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^*L-\epsilon'\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i)=\phi_{\epsilon'/\epsilon}(\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^*L-\epsilon\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i))\cap\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L). \tag{3}$$

The next theorem calculates these Okounkov bodies when

$$\epsilon:=\epsilon_n:=\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}(L;x_1,\ldots,x_n):=\sup\{t>0|\pi_n^*L-t\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i \text{ is ample}\}$$

is the multi-point Seshadri constant of the ample divisor L on $\mathbb{P}^2$ in the points $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in\mathbb{P}^2$. Note that $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}(L;x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ is predicted to be irrational if $n>9$ is not a square number, by Nagata's Conjecture (see Sec. 2).

Theorem 3.4. *Setting $D_n:=\pi_n^*L-\epsilon_n\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i$, the Okounkov body of D_n is*

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_n)=\{t_1\cdot(D_n^2,0)+t_2\cdot(0,1)|0\leq t_1,t_2,t_1+t_2\leq 1\},$$

the convex hull of the points $(0,0)$, $(D_n^2,0)$ and $(0,1)\in\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. If the nef divisor D_n is not big then the constant $\mu(D_n,L)$ of Thm. 1.1 vanishes. On the other hand, the negative part of the Zariski decomposition of D_n is 0, hence $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_n)$ is the segment in $\mathbb{R}^2$ joining $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$, by Thm. 1.1.
If the nef divisor D_n is big, the set $\mathrm{Null}(D_n)$ introduced in section 1 is non-empty by Cor. 1.4, and it consists of a finite number of irreducible and reduced curves $C_1,\ldots,C_K$ on $\widetilde{X}_n$ with negative-definite intersection matrix. The curve C_j cannot be one of the exceptional divisors E_i since then $D_n\cdot C_j=\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}(L;x_1,\ldots,x_n)>0$. Hence each C_j is linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form $d_j\pi_n^*L-\sum_{i=1}^n m_{i,j}E_i$, with $d_j>0, m_{i,j}\geq 0$, and we say that C_j is of class $(d_j;m_{1,j},\ldots,m_{n,j})$. Since $C_j^2<0$ there is at most one curve in $\mathrm{Null}(D_n)$ of a certain class.
Now, the set of classes of curves in $\mathrm{Null}(D_n)$ is invariant when the multiplicities $m_1,\ldots,m_n$ are permuted because such permutations are generated by elements of the Weyl group W_n, and we can apply the principle discussed in Sec. 2.
This implies that $\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Null}(D_n)}C$ is linearly equivalent to $a\pi_n^*L-b\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n E_i$, with $a,b>0$. Furthermore, there are $k,t>0$ such that

$$D_n\equiv k\cdot\pi_n^*L+t\cdot\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Null}(D_n)}C:$$

$b,\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}(L;x_1,\ldots,x_n)>0$ imply $t>0$. Since the intersection matrix of the C_j is negative-definite we have $(\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Null}(D_n)}C)^2<0$. Consequently

$$0=D_n\cdot\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Null}(D_n)}C=ka+t\cdot(\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Null}(D_n)}C)^2$$

implies $k > 0$.
Furthermore, $k = \mu_{\pi_n^* L}(D_n) := \max\{s | D_n - s\pi_n^* L \text{ pseudoeffective}\}$: Since $D_n - s\pi_n^* L \equiv (k-s)\pi_n^* L + t \cdot \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Null}(D_n)} C$ is pseudoeffective and D_n is nef, we have

$$0 \leq D_n \cdot [(k-s)\pi_n^* L + t \cdot \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Null}(D_n)} C] = k - s,$$

so $s \leq k$. On the other hand, $D_n - k\pi_n^* L \equiv t \cdot \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Null}(D_n)} C$ is pseudoeffective.
Consequently, $D_n - s\pi_n^* L \in \overline{\Sigma_{D_n}}$ for all $0 \leq s \leq \mu_{\pi_n^* L}(D_n)$, by Lem. 1.3.
The form of $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_n)$ then follows from Thm. 1.1: The α-function is constantly 0 if $x \notin \bigcup_{C \in \mathrm{Null}(D_n)} \mathrm{Supp}(\pi_n(C))$, and the β-function is linear since the line given by $D_n - s\pi_n^* L$ always runs through the closure of the same Zariski chamber. Furthermore, the domain of the α- and the β-function is $[0, k]$, and $\beta(0) = D_n \cdot \pi_n^* L = 1$. Since the area of $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_n)$ is half of the volume of D_n ([LM09, Thm.A]), that is $D_n^2/2$ as D_n is nef ([Laz04, Cor.1.4.41 & Def.2.2.31]), it follows that $k = D_n^2$. □

Using the facts on Seshadri constants collected in Sec. 2 we can finally calculate the Okounkov bodies $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$, or at least predict their form.

Theorem 3.5. *Nagata's Conjecture holds for $n \geq 9$ if and only if for $d \geq \sqrt{n} \cdot m$ the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$ is the convex hull of $(0,0)$, $(d - \sqrt{n} \cdot m, 0)$, $(d - \sqrt{n} \cdot m, \sqrt{n} \cdot m)$ and $(0, d)$, that is a vertical strip in the triangle with corners $(0,0)$, $(d,0)$ and $(0,d)$.*

Proof. Nagata's Conjecture (see Conj. 2.9) predicts for $n \geq 9$ that

$$D_n^2 = (\pi_n^* L - \epsilon_n \sum_{i=1}^n E_i)^2 = 1 - n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}^2} = 0.$$

Consequently, the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_n)$ is the line segment connecting $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$, by Thm. 3.4. Rescaling and (3) yield the predicted form of $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$. Vice versa, if $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$ is the vertical strip described in the statement, (2) and rescaling show that $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n E_i)$ is the line segment connecting $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$. Then Thm. 3.4 implies that $D_n = \pi_n^* L - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$, that is

$$\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

□

Theorem 3.6. *The Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L)$ is the triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with corners $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$.*
For $1 \leq n \leq 8$ the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^n E_i)$ is a quadrilateral in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with corners $(0,0)$, $(\delta_n, 0)$, $(\epsilon_n', 1 - \epsilon_n')$ and $(0,1)$, where $\epsilon_n' := 1 - \frac{1}{3\epsilon_n}$ and $\delta_n := 1 - \frac{n\epsilon_n}{3}$.
The values of ϵ_n, ϵ_n' and δ_n for $n = 1, \ldots, 8$ are summarized in the following table:

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ϵ_n	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{6}{17}$
ϵ_n'	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{18}$
δ_n	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{17}$

The iterative Okounkov body dissection associated to $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_9$ and $\pi_9^* L - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^9 E_i$ is given by the diagram below.

The Okounkov bodies $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$, $n \leq 9$, are obtained from $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L - \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^n E_i)$ using (3) and rescaling.

Proof. $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L)$ can be calculated directly from Thm. 1.1.
The statements on $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_n^* L - \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^n E_i)$ and $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L_{n,d,m})$ for $1 \leq n \leq 9$ are direct consequences of Thm. 3.4, the list of Seshadri constants in Cor. 2.8 and the rescaling formula (3). □



References

[Băd01] Lucian Bădescu. *Algebraic surfaces*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. Translated from the 1981 Romanian original by Vladimir Maşek and revised by the author.

[BKS04] Th. Bauer, A. Küronya, and T. Szemberg. Zariski chambers, volumes, and stable base loci. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 576:209–233, 2004.

[DKMS13] M. Dumnicki, A. Küronya, C. Maclean, and T. Szemberg. Seshadri constants via okounkov functions and the shgh conjecture. ArXiv:1304.0249, 2013.

[Dol83] I. Dolgachev. Weyl groups and Cremona transformations. In *Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981)*, volume 40 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 283–294. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.

[Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Math. 52. Springer, Heidelberg, 1977.

[HK12] M. Harada and K. Kaveh. Integrable systems, toric degenerations and okounkov bodies. ArXiv:1205.5249v3, 2012.

[KK08] K. Kaveh and A. Khovanskii. Convex bodies and algebraic equations on affine varieties. ArXiv:0804.4095, 2008.

[KK12] K. Kaveh and A. G. Khovanskii. Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 176(2):925–978, 2012.

[KLM13] A. Küronya, V. Lozovanu, and C. Maclean. Volume functions of linear series. *Math. Ann.*, 356(2):635–652, 2013.

[KMS12] A. Küronya, C. Maclean, and T. Szemberg. Functions on okounkov bodies coming from geometric valuations. arXiv:1210.3523v2, 2012.

[Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I*. Erg. Math. 48. Springer, New York, 2004.

[LM09] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustaţă. Convex bodies associated to linear series. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(5):783–835, 2009.

[ŁŚS14] P. Łuszcz-Świdecka and D. Schmitz. Minkowski decomposition of Okounkov bodies on surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 414:159–174, 2014.

[Man74] Y. Manin. *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1974. Translated from the Russian by M. Hazewinkel, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 4.

[Mat02] K. Matsuki. *Introduction to the Mori program*. Springer Verlag, 2002.

[Oko96] A. Okounkov. Brunn-Minkowski inequality for multiplicities. *Invent. Math.*, 125(3):405–411, 1996.

[Oko03] A. Okounkov. Why would multiplicities be log-concave? In *The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000)*, volume 213 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 329–347. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.

Thomas Eckl, Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Liverpool, Mathematical Sciences Building, Liverpool, L69 7ZL, England, U.K.

E-mail address: thomas.eckl@liv.ac.uk

URL: http://pcwww.liv.ac.uk/~eckl/